



Regional Research Component on Content
*Evaluation of Progress and Suggestions for
Future*

Mumit Khan and Urmi Lohani

BRAC University
Bangladesh

Evaluation of Progress

- **Bad:** Most content generation tasks were already in play before RRC engaged itself, some lacking in methodological approach
- **Good:** Most CPIs had good user intervention and need assessment in place before generating content, especially that meant for training
- Needs capacity development help for some CPIs

Capacity Issues

- Need to provide capacity building assistance to those CPIs lacking in capacity
- CPIs having trouble starting may need training on
 - User intervention training
 - Development life-cycle methodology

Technology for content development

- Significant training needed to use modern markups and tools for maintainable multilingual content
 - XML markup
 - Tools for synchronized multilingual content development, critical when translating content
 - Tools for testing and deployment
- Must be in place before content development begins
- Closely related to effectiveness of dissemination (media, ease of update, etc)

IPR issues

- Basic IPR regime discussions not sufficient – must have some training on the subtleties of copyright and licensing issues
- A legal analysis of the copyright and licensing laws of the nationals involved

Future

- “Heightened” engagement by RRC
 - Capacity building in development methodology
 - Extended “resident” support for 1-3 months
 - Assist in content evaluation
 - IPR quagmire
 - *Awareness issue*
 - *“low priority”*
 - *“who cares” problem*